

Denosumab efficacy and safety

IL/DNB/0036/14 November 2014



RANK Ligand pathway

RANK Ligand is an Essential Mediator of Osteoclast Formation, Function and Survival

RANK Ligand (RANKL)

- Signaling protein expressed by osteoblasts/bone lining cells¹
- Binds to RANK and promotes osteoclast formation, function and survival²
- RANK
 - Expressed by osteoclasts and their precursors¹
 - Activated by RANK Ligand binding¹
- Osteoprotegerin (OPG)
- Protein secreted by osteoblasts/bone lining cells¹
 - Natural inhibitor of RANK Ligand²
 - Blocks RANK Ligand signaling to balance bone remodeling²

Unopposed RANK Ligand Activity Causes Long Bone Fragility Fractures



Radiograph of 1-month-old OPG knockout mouse with spontaneous fragility fractures

Bucay N, et al. Genes Dev 1998;12:1260-1268. Reprinted with permission.

OPG is the Natural Endogenous Inhibitor of RANK Ligand



Role of OPG in the Regulation of BMD



Reprinted with permission of Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Increased RANK Ligand/OPG Ratio Promotes Bone Loss

 Alterations of the RANK Ligand/OPG ratio are critical in the pathogenesis of bone diseases that result from increased bone resorption



Promotes Osteoclast Activation Prevents Osteoclast Activation

OPG

Osteoclast Activity

Adapted from: Hofbauer L, et al. JAMA 2004;292:490-495.

Reduction in Estrogen Increases RANK Ligand Expression, Causing Increased Bone Resorption



Adapted from the following: Boyle WJ, *et al. Nature* 2003;423:337–342. Eghbali-Fatourechi G, *et al. J Clin Invest* 2003;111:1221–1230.

Inhibition of RANK Ligand



The RANKL/RANK/OPG Pathway is Involved in Regulating Bone Remodeling



Reduction in Estrogen Increases RANK Ligand Expression, Causing Increased Bone Resorption

Increased RANK Ligand in Postmenopausal Women Leads to Excessive Bone Resorption

Decreased Estrogen increases RANK Ligand expression Osteoblasts

> Adapted from the following: Boyle WJ, *et al. Nature* 2003;423:337–342. Eghbali-Fatourechi G, *et al. J Clin Invest* 2003;111:1221–1230.

RANK Ligand

OPG



Denosumab Phase III data

FREEDOM

<u>Fracture Reduction Evaluation of Denosumab in</u> <u>Osteoporosis Every 6 Months</u>

Phase III FREEDOM and FREEDOM EXTENSION Studies – Study Design

FREEDOM Trial: International multi-center, placebocontrolled study with open-label, single arm extension^{1,2}



Adapted from: 1. Cummings SR, *et al. N Engl J Med* 2009;361:756–765. 2. Papapoulos S, *et al. J Bone Miner Res* 2012;27:694–701.

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics Similar Between Treatment Groups

FREEDOM Trial

	Placebo $(N = 3.906)$	Denosumab 60 mg Q6M (N = 3.902)
Mean age, years (SD)	72.3 (5.2)	72.3 (5.2)
Mean body mass index (SD)	26.0 (4.2)	26.0 (4.1)
Mean 25 (OH) vitamin D level, ng/mL (SD)*	22.9 (11.3)	23.1 (11.7)
Mean lumbar spine T-score (SD)	-2.84 (0.69)	-2.82 (0.70)
Mean total hip T-score (SD)	-1.91 (0.81)	-1.89 (0.81)
Mean femoral neck T-score (SD)	-2.17 (0.71)	-2.15 (0.72)
Prevalent vertebral fracture, N (%)	915 (23.4)	929 (23.8)

Data depicts patients included in the efficacy analysis, which excludes data from 60 patients at one study center (29 randomized to placebo, 31 randomized to denosumab) because participation of the study center was discontinued due to issues regarding study procedures and data reliability *Excludes outlier values greater than 200 ng/mL

Adapted from: Cummings SR, et al. N Engl J Med 2009;361:756–765.

14

Baseline Demographics and Characteristics Similar Between Treatment Groups

FREEDOM Trial

	Placebo $(N = 3.906)$	Denosumab 60 mg Q6M (N = 3.902)			
Age group, N (%)					
60–64 years	208 (5.3)	206 (5.3)			
≥65 years	3698 (95)	3696 (95)			
≥75 years	1236 (32)	1235 (32)			
Fracture risk by FRAX tool					
10-year osteoporotic fracture risk, %	18.7	18.5			
10-year hip fracture risk, %	7.19	7.24			

Data depicts patients included in the efficacy analysis, which excludes data from 60 patients at one study center (29 randomized to placebo, 31 randomized to denosumab) because participation of the study center was discontinued due to issues regarding study procedures and data reliability

Adapted from: Cummings SR, et al. N Engl J Med 2009;361:756–765.

Denosumab Reduced Risk of Vertebral, Nonvertebral and Hip Fractures at 36 Months



FREEDOM Trial

RR = risk reduction

Adapted from: Cummings SR, et al. N Engl J Med 2009;361:756-765.

Denosumab Reduced Risk of New Vertebral Fractures Each Year of Treatment

78% 65% 3.5% P < 0.001 Placebo P < 0.001 Denosumab 3.0% 3.1% 3.1% Cumulative Incidence (%) 61% 2.5% P < 0.001 2.2% 2.0% 1.5% 1.0% 1.1% 0.9% 0.7% 0.5% 0.0% Year 1 Year 2 Year 3

FREEDOM Trial

Intent-to-treat, last observation carried forward analysis

The percentage of new vertebral fractures was calculated using the number of patients

with a baseline, and at least one post-baseline, spine x-ray evaluation

Denosumab Reduced Time to First Hip Fracture by 40% over 36 Months

FREEDOM Trial



Adverse Event Profile of Denosumab Similar to Placebo over 36 Months

FREEDOM Trial

	Placebo (N = 3,876)	Denosumab 60 mg Q6M (N = 3,886)	P value
Adverse events, N (%)			
All adverse events	3,607 (93.1)	3,605 (92.8)	0.91
Serious adverse events	972 (25.1)	1,004 (25.8)	0.61
Deaths	90 (2.3)	70 (1.8)	0.08
Leading to study discontinuation	81 (2.1)	93 (2.4)	0.39
Leading to discontinuing the study drug	202 (5.2)	192 (4.9)	0.55

19

Adverse Events over 36 Months

FREEDOM Trial

	Placebo (N = 3,876)	Denosumab 60 mg Q6M (N = 3,886)		
Adverse events, N (%)				
Infection	2,108 (54.4)	2,055 (52.9)		
Malignancy	166 (4.3)	187 (4.8)		
Injection-site reaction	26 (0.7)	33 (0.8)		
Hypocalcemia	3 (0.1)	0 (0)		
Delayed fracture healing	4 (0.1)	2 (0.05)		
Femoral shaft fracture	3 (0.1)	0 (0)		
Humerus non-union fracture	1 (0.03)	0 (0)		
Osteonecrosis of the jaw	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Adverse events occurring with \geq 2% incidence and P \leq 0.05, N (%)				
Eczema	65 (1.7)	118 (3.0)		
Fall*	219 (5.7)	175 (4.5)		
Flatulence	53 (1.4)	84 (2.2)		

*Excludes falls occurring on the same day as a fracture

Adapted from: Cummings SR, et al. N Engl J Med 2009;361:756–765.

Serious Adverse Events over 36 Months

FREEDOM Trial

	Placebo (N = 3,876)	Denosumab 60 mg Q6M (N = 3,886)	P value
Serious adverse events, N (%)			
Malignancy	125 (3.2)	144 (3.7)	0.28
Infection	133 (3.4)	159 (4.1)	0.14
Cardiovascular events	178 (4.6)	186 (4.8)	0.74
Stroke	54 (1.4)	56 (1.4)	0.89
Coronary heart disease	39 (1.0)	47 (1.2)	0.41
Peripheral vascular disease	30 (0.8)	31 (0.8)	0.93
Atrial fibrillation	29 (0.7)	29 (0.7)	0.98
Serious adverse events occurring with \geq 0.1% incidence and P \leq 0.01, N (%)			
Cellulitis (includes erysipelas)	1 (<0.1)	12 (0.3)	0.002
Concussion	11 (0.3)	1 (<0.1)	0.004

Summary

- In the FREEDOM study, denosumab 60 mg SC every 6 months for 3 years:
 - significantly reduced the risk of new vertebral, hip and nonvertebral fracture
 - and significantly increased lumbar spine and total hip BMD
- Denosumab was overall well tolerated



Denosumab Long-term Data

FREEDOM EXTENSION 6-Year Data

Design, Population and Outcome Measures

- Multicenter, international, open-label 3-year (of 7 years) extension study
- Study population
 - 4550 post-menopausal women (60–90 years old) who had completed the FREEDOM trial (completed the 3 year visit and did not miss > 1 dose of denosumab)

Study outcomes

- Primary outcome: Safety and tolerability
- Secondary outcomes: changes in bone turnover markers and bone mineral density, and the incidence of vertebral and nonvertebral fractures

Study Design

FREEDOM and FREEDOM EXTENSION Studies



Baseline Characteristics

Phase III: FREEDOM and FREEDOM EXTENSION Studies

	Long-term denosumab treatment EXTENSION subjects (N = 2,343)		Cross-over denosumab treatment EXTENSION subjects (N = 2,207)	
	FREEDOM Baseline	Extension Baseline	FREEDOM Baseline	Extension Baseline
Age (years)	71.9 (5.0)	74.9 (5.0)	71.8 (5.1)	74.8 (5.1)
Age groups – n (%) ≥ 65 years ≥ 75 years	2209 (94.3) 662 (28.3)	2294 (97.9) 1258 (53.7)	2067 (93.7) 624 (28.3)	2149 (97.4) 1151 (52.2)
Year since menopause	23.7 (7.3)	26.7 (7.3)	23.7 (7.4)	26.7 (7.4)
Prevalent vertebral factures – n (%)	559 (23.9)	573 (24.5)	485 (22.0)	551 (25.0)
Prevalent non-vertebral factures at age ≥ 55 – n (%)	702 (30.0)	780 (33.3)	651 (29.5)	754 (34.2)
Lumbar spine BMD T-score	-2.83 (0.67)	-2.14 (0.80)	-2.84 (0.68)	-2.81 (0.75)
Total hip BMDT-score	-1.85 (0.79)	-1.50 (0.79)	-1.85 (0.79)	-1.93 (0.80)
CTX ^a (ng/mL) – median (Q1, Q3)	0.505 (0.357, 0.700)	0.182 (0.086, 0.555)	0.555 (0.420, 0.661)	0.568 (0.426, 0.728)
P1N ^a (µg/L) – median (Q1, Q3)	46.2 (31.5, 56.8)	17.3 (10.3, 26.0)	55.8 (42.5, 65.6)	48.8 (35.0, 67.6)

CTX = C-telopeptide; P1NP = N-terminal propeptide of type 1 collagen; N = Number of subjects enrolled in the extension

Data are means with standard deviations unless otherwise noted.

^a Data are from subjects who were included in the BTM substudy

Bone H, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013: epub.

Continuation of Denosumab Treatment Increases Lumbar Spine and Total Hip BMD Year on Year



LS means and 95% confidence intervals. *P < 0.05 vs FREEDOM baseline; [†]P < 0.05 vs FREEDDOM baseline and Extension baseline.

Numbers on the graphs represent the percent change in BMD while on denosumab treatment.

Bone H, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013: epub.

Continuation of Denosumab Treatment for 6 years continued to increase BMD



Data from the BMD sub study population are shown for the 1/3 radius, cross-over n = 36 and long-term n=65. LS means and 95% confidence intervals. *P < 0.05 vs FREEDOM baseline; ^{+}P < 0.05 vs Extension baseline Numbers on the graphs represent the percent change in BMD while on denosumab treatment.

Bone H, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013: epub.

Continuation of Denosumab Maintains a Low Incidence of Non-vertebral Fractures

FREEDOM EXTENSION Study



Adapted from: Papapoulos S, et al. J Bone Miner Res 2012;27:694–701.

29

Continuation of Denosumab Maintains a Low Incidence of New Vertebral Fractures

FREEDOM EXTENSION Study



Adapted from: Papapoulos S, et al. J Bone Miner Res 2012;27:694–701.

30

Exposure-adjusted Subject Incidence of Adverse Events: Denosumab Treatment for 6 years Remained Well Tolerated

	Rate (exposure-adjusted subject incidence per 100 subject-years)				
	Placebo	ebo Denosumab		Denosumab	
	FREEDOM Years 1 – 3 N = 3883 Rate (n)	FREEDOM Years 1 – 3 N = 3879 Rate (n)	EXTENSION Long-term Years 4 – 6 N = 2343 Rate (n)	EXTENSION Cross-over Years 4 – 6 N = 2206 Rate (n)	
All adverse events	156.1 (3614)	154.3 (3598)	106.2 (2067)	104.2 (1944)	
Infections	30.7 (2113)	29.3 (2052)	23.4 (1070)	25.0 (1054)	
Malignancies	1.6 (167)	1.8 (187)	1.9 (120)	1.8 (108)	
Eczema	0.6 (67)	1.1 (119)	1.0 (65)	1.0 (57)	
Hypocalcemia	<0.1 (3)	0	<0.1 (1)	<0.1 (6)	
Pancreatitis	<0.1 (3)	<0.1 (7)	<0.1 (4*)	<0.1 (2)	
Serious adverse events	10.4 (974)	10.6 (1002)	10.6 (597)	10.9 (573)	
Infections	1.3 (134)	1.5 (160)	1.3 (82)	1.4 (81)	
Cellulitis or erysipelas	<0.1 (1)	0.1 (12)	<0.1 (5)	<0.1 (1)	
Fatal adverse events	0.8 (90)	0.6 (70)	0.7 (45)	0.7 (41)	

ONJ: Six participants had events of ONJ confirmed by adjudication. Four cases in the long-term group and two cases in the cross-over group.

Atypical fracture: One case of atypical femoral fractures was positively adjudicated in the cross-over group.

N = number of subjects who received \geq 1 dose of investigational product. Treatment groups are based on the original randomized treatments received in FREEDOM. n = total number of subjects with an adverse event. Adverse events coded using MedDRA v13.0. Bone H, *et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013: epub.

Summary

- Denosumab treatment for up to 6 years continued to show a favorable benefit/risk profile and was associated with:
 - sustained but not progressive decreases in bone turnover
 - continued increases in BMD
 - maintained low fracture rates

 Efficacy data from the cross-over group were consistent with observations from the original FREEDOM trial

Prolia - indication

Therapeutic indications

- Treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and in men at increased risk of fractures. In postmenopausal women Prolia significantly reduces the risk of vertebral, non vertebral and hip fractures.
- Treatment of bone loss associated with hormone ablation in men with prostate cancer at increased risk of fractures. In men with prostate cancer receiving hormone ablation, Prolia significantly reduces the risk of vertebral fractures
- Prolia PI MOH approved

Prolia – active ingredients and administration

Generic name of the drug and active ingredient

Prolia 60 mg solution for injection in a pre-filled syringe.

Each pre-filled syringe contains 60 mg of denosumab in 1 ml of solution (60 mg/ml).

Dosage and method of administration

The recommended dose of Prolia is 60 mg administered as a single subcutaneous injection once every 6 months into the thigh, abdomen or upper arm.

Patients must be adequately supplemented with calcium and vitamin D

Administration should be performed by an individual who has been adequately trained in injection techniques.

Prolia

MANUFACTURER

- Amgen Europe B.V.
- Breda
- The Netherlands

LICENSE HOLDER AND IMPORTER

GlaxoSmithKline (Israel) Ltd.

- 25 Basel St., Petach Tikva 4900202
- Prolia is a registered trademark of Amgen Inc., and is being used under license by GlaxoSmithKline.
- © 2011 Amgen Inc. All rights reserved

Prolia – important information

- לדיווח תופעות לוואי <u>il.safety@gsk.com</u> או בטלפון 03-9297100
 שרות מידע רפואי il.medinfo@gsk.com
- למידע מלא יש לעיין בעלון לרופא כפי שאושר ע"י משרד הבריאות

Prolia - Contraindications

Contraindications

- Hypocalcaemia
- Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients listed in section 6.1 of PI
- Pregnancy: Prolia may cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. In utero denosumab exposure in cynomolgus monkeys resulted in increased fetal loss, stillbirths, and postnatal mortality, along with evidence of absent lymph nodes, abnormal bone growth and decreased neonatal growth. Prolia is contraindicated in women who are pregnant. If this drug is used during pregnancy, or if the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, the patient should be apprised of the potential hazard to a fetus.

For full information please see MOH approved PI

- Calcium and Vitamin D supplementation
- Adequate intake of calcium and vitamin D is important in all patients.
- Precautions for use
- Hypocalcemia It is important to identify patients at risk for hypocalcaemia. Hypocalcaemia must be corrected by adequate intake of calcium and vitamin D before initiating therapy. Clinical monitoring of calcium levels is recommended before each dose and, in patients predisposed to hypocalcaemia within two weeks after the initial dose. If any patient presents with suspected symptoms of hypocalcaemia during treatment calcium levels should be measured. Patients should be encouraged to report symptoms indicative of hypocalcaemia.
- In the post-marketing setting, severe symptomatic hypocalcaemia has been reported, with most cases occurring in the first weeks of initiating therapy, but it can occur later.

For full information please see MOH approved PI

- Skin Infections Patients receiving Prolia may develop skin infections (predominantly cellulitis) leading to hospitalization. Patients should be advised to seek prompt medical attention if they develop signs or symptoms of cellulitis.
- Osteonecrosis of the jaw has been reported rarely in clinical studies and in the post marketing setting in patients receiving denosumab at a dose of 60 mg every 6 months for osteoporosis. Known risk factors for ONJ include previous treatment with bisphosphonates, older age, poor oral hygiene, invasive dental procedures (e.g. tooth extractions, dental implants, oral surgery), and co-morbid disorders (e.g. pre-existing dental disease, anaemia, coagulopathy, infection), smoking, a diagnosis of cancer with bone lesions, concomitant therapies (e.g., chemotherapy, antiangiogenic biologics, corticosteroids, radiotherapy to head and neck). It is important to evaluate patients for risk factors for ONJ before starting treatment. A dental examination with appropriate preventive dentistry is recommended prior to treatment with Prolia in patients with concomitant risk factors. All patients should be encouraged to maintain good oral hygiene, receive routine dental check-ups, and immediately report any oral symptoms such as dental mobility, pain or swelling during treatment with Prolia. While on treatment, patients should avoid invasive dental procedures if possible.

- Atypical Subtrochanteric and Diaphyseal Femoral Fractures Atypical low-energy or low trauma fractures of the shaft have been reported in patients receiving Prolia. Causality has not been established as these fractures also occur in osteoporotic patients who have not been treated with anti-resorptive agents. During Prolia treatment, patients should be advised to report new or unusual thigh, hip, or groin pain. Interruption of Prolia therapy should be considered, pending a risk/benefit assessment, on an individual basis.
- Suppression of Bone Turnover In clinical trials in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis, treatment with Prolia resulted in significant suppression of bone remodeling as evidenced by markers of bone turnover and bone histomorphometry. The significance of these findings and the effect of long-term treatment with Prolia are unknown. The long-term consequences of the degree of suppression of bone remodeling observed with Prolia may contribute to adverse outcomes such as osteonecrosis of the jaw, atypical fractures, and delayed fracture healing. Monitor patients for these consequences.

For full information please see MOH approved PI

- Dry natural rubber The needle cover of the pre-filled syringe contains dry natural rubber (a derivative of latex), which may cause allergic reactions.
- Renal impairment Patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 30 ml/min) or receiving dialysis are at greater risk of developing hypocalcaemia. Adequate intake of calcium, vitamin D and regular monitoring of calcium is especially important in these patients, see above.
- Warnings for Excipients
- Patients with rare hereditary problems of fructose intolerance should not use Prolia. This medicinal product contains less than 1 mmol sodium (23 mg) per 60 mg i.e. essentially 'sodium-free'.

For full information please see MOH approved PI